



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

54  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/864,007	05/23/2001	Arto Lehtonen	442-010303-US(PAR)	1869

7590 07/29/2005  
Perman & Green  
425 Post Road  
Fairfield, CT 06430-6232

EXAMINER

SHARMA, SUJATHA R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2684

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

**Application No.**

09/864,007

**Applicant(s)**

LEHTONEN, ARTO

**Examiner**

Sujatha Sharma

**Art Unit**

2684

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 January 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)                        |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____   |

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jones [US 6,606,506] in view of Bjorndahl [US 6,901,241] and further in view of Griffith [US 6,236,732].

Regarding claims 1,2,13,15, Jones discloses a personal entertainment and communication device having a headset electronically connected to the master unit/communication unit. Jones further discloses a method for transferring audio signals of a call between the mobile station and the headset (see col. 1, line 55 – col. 2, line 20, col. 4, lines 1-49). Jones further discloses a method to transfer files between the master unit/communication unit and the headset (see col. 5, lines 5-65, col. 6, lines 14-60) and a memory means to store these files (see Figs. 2,3 and 4 and col. 3, lines 8-38 and col. 5, lines 6-19, col. 6, lines 14-60). See also background of invention

Jones however does not disclose a method wherein short-range transceivers are used in the headset and the master/communication unit for wireless communication between the headset and the master/communication unit.

However, it is well known in the art that short range communication such as Bluetooth is a cable replacement technology that connects one device to another with one universal short range radio link as taught by Bjorndahl. See col. 7, lines 10-33 and Fig. 4.

Art Unit: 2684

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use short range transceivers as taught by Bjorndahl in Jones invention as a cable replacement.

Further Jones and Bjorndahl fail to disclose a media player, which is arranged to decode a stored file in the headset.

Griffith, in the same filed of endeavor, teaches a method wherein a media player is arranged to decode a stored file in the headset. See col. 1, lines 25-58, col. 2, lines 15-64

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the above teachings of Griffith in modified Jones invention to provide a new and improved headset/player device and thus streamline the use of headphones.

Regarding claim 3, Jones further discloses the files to be user files. See background of invention, col. 5, lines 5-65, col. 6, lines 14-60.

Regarding claim 4, Jones further discloses the memory in the headset to be a detachable memory. See Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 24-38.

Regarding claim 5, Jones discloses the headset to comprise of a speaker and a microphone. See col. 1, line 66 – col. 2, line 2.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 6, Jones further discloses a method where the headset comprises a user interface and the master/communication unit is arranged to control the user interface. See col. 3, lines 9-67.

Regarding claim 7,16, Jones further discloses a headset comprising of a multimedia player. See summary of invention, abstract and col. 1, lines 9-23, Figs. 1 and 6.

Regarding claims 8,14, Jones discloses two earpieces in the headset and as a multimedia player an audio player that is arranged to play music in the earpieces. See summary of invention, abstract and col. 1, lines 9-23, Figs. 1 and 6.

Regarding claims 9,10, Jones further discloses a method wherein the audio player is arranged to play music by decoding a music file which is stored in the head set and further the multimedia player comprising of a number of functions that the mobile station is arranged to control over short-range radio connection. See summary of invention, col. 3, lines 9-38, Figs. 1 and 6.

Regarding claim 11, Jones discloses the communication unit to be a mobile station of a cellular network. See background of invention, col. 3, lines 52-67.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 12, Bjorndahl teaches the use of Bluetooth technology for short-range communication between the headset and the mobile communication device. See col. 7, lines 10-33 and Fig. 4.

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-16 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Conclusion*

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

2. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2684

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 571-272-7886. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Sujatha Sharma  
July 21, 2005

EDAN ORGAD  
PATENT EXAMINER/TELECOMM.

*EC* 7/21/05